



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,710	01/22/2002	Koichi Masuda	047940-0119	5419

23524 7590 05/31/2005

FOLEY & LARDNER  
150 EAST GILMAN STREET  
P.O. BOX 1497  
MADISON, WI 53701-1497

EXAMINER

DAVIS, RUTH A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1651

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/054,710

Applicant(s)

MASUDA ET AL.

Examiner

Ruth A. Davis

Art Unit

1651

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15, 16, 31 and 32 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 17-30 and 33-35 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5/05.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Art Unit: 1651

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's Request for Continued Examination, amendment and response filed on March 23, 2005 has been received and entered into the case. Claims 1 – 35 are pending; claims 15 – 16 and 31 – 32 have been withdrawn from consideration; claims 1 – 14, 17 – 30 and 33 – 35 have been considered on the merits. All arguments have been fully considered.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1651

3. Claims 1 – 8, 10, 14, 17 – 24, 26, 29 – 30 and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kai in view of Masuda.

Applicant claims a method for determining the effect of a test agent on a tissue engineered cartilage matrix, the method comprising culturing an engineered cartilage tissue (ECT), contacting test agents with cells or tissues of the ECT, and measuring the effect that the agents have on the ECT or cells thereof; wherein the matrix is cultured to be rapidly degraded losing about half of its proteoglycan content within 24 hours when treated with IL-1 and wherein the loss of proteoglycan can be measured without radioactive agents. The ECT is cultured by culturing isolated chondrogenic cells for a time sufficient to form a chondrogenic cell-associated matrix, and culturing the cells with the cell-associated matrix on a semipermeable membrane in the presence of a growth factor for a time sufficient to form an ECT. The cells or tissues are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT. Alternatively, the cells are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT in the presence of a known modulator of cartilage tissue. The chondrogenic cell-associated matrix comprises aggrecan, collagen types II, IX, XI, matrix proteins, and hyaluronan and the ECT comprises collagens II, IX, XI, hyaluronan, and at least about 5 mg/cc<sup>3</sup> aggrecan, the ratio of aggrecan : hyaluronan is about 10:1 – 200:1, and the ration of aggrecan : collagen is about 1:1 – 10:1. The isolated chondrogenic cells are isolated from articular cartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoids or cricoid cartilages, specifically fibrocartilage from ligament, tendon, meniscus, or intervertebral disc. The chondrogenic cells are cultured on an alginate medium, the measuring is

Art Unit: 1651

performed without addition of extrinsic radioactivity and the method further comprises identifying one or more test agents that have desirable characteristics and producing the agents as a therapeutic drug. The modulator of the ECT tissue is a matrix stimulating agent, cytokine or TNF-alpha wherein the cytokine is interleukin 1 (IL-1).

Kai teaches a method for determining effects of agents on cartilage, wherein the cartilage is cultured with IL-1 or TNF, is contacted with the test agent, and is measured for effects of the test agent (abstract). The method is used to screen for therapeutic agents (abstract).

Kai does not teach the method wherein the cartilage is cultured in the manner claimed. However, Masuda teaches methods for culturing an engineered cartilage tissue, wherein isolated chondrocytes are cultured in alginate for a time to allow a cell associated matrix to form (col.4 line 30-35). The cell associated matrix has at least about 5 mg/cc3 aggrecan, a ratio of aggrecan to hyaluronan of 10:1 to 200:1, and a ratio of aggrecan to collagen of about 1:1 to 10: (col.4 line 35-40). The chondrogenic cells are cultured on a semi-permeable membrane in the presence of growth factors (col. 4 line 44-46). The chondrocytes may be isolated from articular cartilage, fibrocartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoid or cricoid cartilages (col.5 line 31-38) and the resulting matrix comprises aggrecan, collagn II, IX, XI and hyaluronan (col.6 line 61-64). At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to culture the cartilage of Kai using the methods of Masuda because it was a known method for culturing cartilage tissues. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by common culture practices in the art to culture the cartilage of Kai via the methods of Masuda with a reasonable expectation for successfully determining the effects of test agents on cartilage cultures.

Art Unit: 1651

Although Masuda does not teach the fibrocartilage is from a ligament, tendon, meniscus or intervertebral discs, they were each well known sources of fibrocartilage. Moreover at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to use any of the above sources as the source of fibrocartilage in the methods of Masuda.

4. Claims 1 – 19, 14, 17 – 26, 33 and 35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Purchio in view of Masuda.

Applicant claims a method for determining the effect of a test agent on a tissue engineered cartilage matrix, the method comprising culturing an engineered cartilage tissue (ECT), contacting test agents with cells or tissues of the ECT, and measuring the effect that the agents have on the ECT or cells thereof; wherein the matrix is cultured to be rapidly degraded losing about half of its proteoglycan content within 24 hours when treated with IL-1 and wherein the loss of proteoglycan can be measured without radioactive agents. The ECT is cultured by culturing isolated chondrogenic cells for a time sufficient to form a chondrogenic cell-associated matrix, and culturing the cells with the cell-associated matrix on a semipermeable membrane in the presence of a growth factor for a time sufficient to form an ECT. The cells or tissues are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT. Alternatively, the cells are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT in the presence of a known modulator of cartilage tissue. The chondrogenic cell-associated matrix comprises aggrecan, collagen types II, IX, XI, matrix proteins, and hyaluronan and the ECT comprises collagens II, IX, XI, hyaluronan,

Art Unit: 1651

and at least about 5 mg/cc<sup>3</sup> aggrecan, the ratio of aggrecan : hyaluronan is about 10:1 – 200:1, and the ration of aggrecan : collagen is about 1:1 – 10:1. The isolated chondrogenic cells are isolated from articular cartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoids or cricoid cartilages, specifically fibrocartilage from ligament, tendon, meniscus, or intervertebral disc. The chondrogenic cells are cultured on an alginate medium, the measuring comprises measuring the amount of proteoglycan in the ECT, is performed without addition of extrinsic radioactivity and the method further comprises identifying one or more test agents that have desirable characteristics and producing the agents as a therapeutic drug. The culturing of ECT and the contacting the cells with the test agent occurs in the same well of a multi-well plate.

Purchio teaches methods for screening effects of test agents on cartilage cultures wherein the cultures are exposed to the test agents and the effects are measured (col.16 line 12-22). Examples of such effects include the amount of proteoglycan (col.16 line 27-34). Specifically, chondrocytes are harvested from articular cartilage and cultured in multi-well plates (col.21 lines 18-60) and the test agents are identified for therapeutic and/or pharmaceutical compounds (col. 16). Purchio teaches that the chondrocytes can be isolated from articular or costal cartilage (col.11 line 62-65).

Purchio does not teach the method wherein the cartilage is cultured in the manner claimed. However, Masuda teaches methods for culturing an engineered cartilage tissue, wherein isolated chondrocytes are cultured in alginate for a time to allow a cell associated matrix to form (col.4 line 30-35). The cell associated matrix has at least about 5 mg/cc<sup>3</sup> aggrecan, a ratio of aggrecan to hyaluronan of 10:1 to 200:1, and a ratio of aggrecan to collagen of about 1:1 to 10: (col.4 line 35-40). The chondrogenic cells are cultured on a semi-permeable membrane in

Art Unit: 1651

the presence of growth factors (col. 4 line 44-46). The chondrocytes may be isolated from articular cartilage, fibrocartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoid or cricoid cartilages (col.5 line 31-38) and the resulting matrix comprises aggrecan, collagen II, IX, XI and hyaluronan (col.6 line 61-64). At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to culture the cartilage of Purchio using the methods of Masuda because it was a known method for culturing cartilage tissues. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by common culture practices in the art to culture the cartilage of Purchio via the methods of Masuda with a reasonable expectation for successfully determining the effects of test agents on cartilage cultures. Although Masuda does not teach the fibrocartilage is from a ligament, tendon, meniscus or intervertebral discs, they were each well known sources of fibrocartilage. Moreover at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to use any of the above sources as the source of fibrocartilage in the methods of Masuda.

5. Claims 1 – 8, 17 – 24, 29 – 30 and 35 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Saito in view of Masuda.

Applicant claims a method for determining the effect of a test agent on a tissue engineered cartilage matrix, the method comprising culturing an engineered cartilage tissue (ECT), contacting test agents with cells or tissues of the ECT, and measuring the effect that the agents have on the ECT or cells thereof; wherein the matrix is cultured to be rapidly degraded losing about half of its proteoglycan content within 24 hours when treated with IL-1 and wherein



Art Unit: 1651

the loss of proteoglycan can be measured without radioactive agents. The ECT is cultured by culturing isolated chondrogenic cells for a time sufficient to form a chondrogenic cell-associated matrix, and culturing the cells with the cell-associated matrix on a semipermeable membrane in the presence of a growth factor for a time sufficient to form an ECT. The cells or tissues are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT. Alternatively, the cells are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT in the presence of a known modulator of cartilage tissue. The chondrogenic cell-associated matrix comprises aggrecan, collagen types II, IX, XI, matrix proteins, and hyaluronan and the ECT comprises collagens II, IX, XI, hyaluronan, and at least about 5 mg/cc<sup>3</sup> aggrecan, the ratio of aggrecan : hyaluronan is about 10:1 – 200:1, and the ration of aggrecan : collagen is about 1:1 – 10:1. The isolated chondrogenic cells are isolated from articular cartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoids or cricoid cartilages, specifically fibrocartilage from ligament, tendon, meniscus, or intervertebral disc. The chondrogenic cells are cultured on an alginate medium. The modulator of the ECT tissue is a matrix stimulating agent, cytokine or TNF-alpha wherein the cytokine is interleukin 1 (IL-1). Finally, the culturing and contacting step occur in the same well of a multi well plate.

Saito teaches culturing cartilage in multi well plates in the presences of IL-1 alpha, wherein the effects of the test agent were measured (p.727).

Saito does not teach the method wherein the cartilage is cultured in the manner claimed. However, Masuda teaches methods for culturing an engineered cartilage tissue, wherein isolated

Art Unit: 1651

chondrocytes are cultured in alginate for a time to allow a cell associated matrix to form (col.4 line 30-35). The cell associated matrix has at least about 5 mg/cc3 aggrecan, a ratio of aggrecan to hyaluronan of 10:1 to 200:1, and a ratio of aggrecan to collagen of about 1:1 to 10: (col.4 line 35-40). The chondrogenic cells are cultured on a semi-permeable membrane in the presence of growth factors (col. 4 line 44-46). The chondrocytes may be isolated from articular cartilage, fibrocartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoid or cricoid cartilages (col.5 line 31-38) and the resulting matrix comprises aggrecan, collagn II, IX, XI and hyaluronan (col.6 line 61-64). At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to culture the cartilage of Saito using the methods of Masuda because it was a known method for culturing cartilage tissues. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by common culture practices in the art to culture the cartilage of Saito via the methods of Masuda with a reasonable expectation for successfully determining the effects of test agents on cartilage cultures.

Although Masuda does not teach the fibrocartilage is from a ligament, tendon, meniscus or intervertebral discs, they were each well known sources of fibrocartilage. Moreover at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to use any of the above sources as the source of fibrocartilage in the methods of Masuda.

6. Claims 1 – 11, 17 – 27 and 29 – 30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huch in view of Masuda.

Applicant claims a method for determining the effect of a test agent on a tissue engineered cartilage matrix, the method comprising culturing an engineered cartilage tissue

Art Unit: 1651

(ECT), contacting test agents with cells or tissues of the ECT, and measuring the effect that the agents have on the ECT or cells thereof; wherein the matrix is cultured to be rapidly degraded losing about half of its proteoglycan content within 24 hours when treated with IL-1 and wherein the loss of proteoglycan can be measured without radioactive agents. The ECT is cultured by culturing isolated chondrogenic cells for a time sufficient to form a chondrogenic cell-associated matrix, and culturing the cells with the cell-associated matrix on a semipermeable membrane in the presence of a growth factor for a time sufficient to form an ECT. The cells or tissues are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT. Alternatively, the cells are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT in the presence of a known modulator of cartilage tissue. The chondrogenic cell-associated matrix comprises aggrecan, collagen types II, IX, XI, matrix proteins, and hyaluronan and the ECT comprises collagens II, IX, XI, hyaluronan, and at least about 5 mg/cc<sup>3</sup> aggrecan, the ratio of aggrecan : hyaluronan is about 10:1 – 200:1, and the ration of aggrecan : collagen is about 1:1 – 10:1. The isolated chondrogenic cells are isolated from articular cartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoids or cricoid cartilages, specifically fibrocartilage from ligament, tendon, meniscus, or intervertebral disc. The chondrogenic cells are cultured on an alginate medium, the measuring comprises measuring the amount of proteoglycan in the ECT, enzymatically degrading the ECT and is performed without addition of extrinsic radioactivity. The method further comprises identifying one or more test agents that have desirable characteristics and producing the agents as a therapeutic drug. The culturing of ECT and the contacting the cells with the test agent occurs

Art Unit: 1651

in the same well of a multi-well plate. The modulator of the ECT tissue is a matrix stimulating agent, cytokine or TNF-alpha wherein the cytokine is interleukin 1.

Huch teaches methods for culturing articular chondrocytes in an alginate medium in the presence of a test agent, IL-1, wherein proteoglycan was measured (abstract). Specifically, the cartilage was degraded with enzymes, the chondrocytes were cultured with alginate in a multi-well plate in the presence of IL-1, and the amount of proteoglycan was measured (p. 2158).

Huch does not teach the method wherein the cartilage is cultured in the manner claimed. However, Masuda teaches methods for culturing an engineered cartilage tissue, wherein isolated chondrocytes are cultured in alginate for a time to allow a cell associated matrix to form (col.4 line 30-35). The cell associated matrix has at least about 5 mg/cc3 aggrecan, a ratio of aggrecan to hyaluronan of 10:1 to 200:1, and a ratio of aggrecan to collagen of about 1:1 to 10: (col.4 line 35-40). The chondrogenic cells are cultured on a semi-permeable membrane in the presence of growth factors (col. 4 line 44-46). The chondrocytes may be isolated from articular cartilage, fibrocartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoid or cricoid cartilages (col.5 line 31-38) and the resulting matrix comprises aggrecan, collagn II, IX, XI and hyaluronan (col.6 line 61-64). At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to culture the cartilage of Huch using the methods of Masuda because it was a known method for culturing cartilage tissues. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by common culture practices in the art to culture the cartilage of Huch via the methods of Masuda with a reasonable expectation for successfully determining the effects of test agents on cartilage cultures. Although Masuda does not teach the fibrocartilage is from a ligament, tendon, meniscus or

Art Unit: 1651

intervertebral discs, they were each well known sources of fibrocartilage. Moreover at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to use any of the above sources as the source of fibrocartilage in the methods of Masuda.

7. Claims 1 – 8, 10, 14, 17 – 24, 26 and 33 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lansbury in view of Masuda.

Applicant claims a method for determining the effect of a test agent on a tissue engineered cartilage matrix, the method comprising culturing an engineered cartilage tissue (ECT), contacting test agents with cells or tissues of the ECT, and measuring the effect that the agents have on the ECT or cells thereof, wherein the matrix is cultured to be rapidly degraded losing about half of its proteoglycan content within 24 hours when treated with IL-1 and wherein the loss of proteoglycan can be measured without radioactive agents. The ECT is cultured by culturing isolated chondrogenic cells for a time sufficient to form a chondrogenic cell-associated matrix, and culturing the cells with the cell-associated matrix on a semipermeable membrane in the presence of a growth factor for a time sufficient to form an ECT. The cells or tissues are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT. Alternatively, the cells are selected from isolated chondrogenic cells, the chondrogenic cells during culture, the cells and cell-associated matrix before or after culturing, and the ECT in the presence of a known modulator of cartilage tissue. The chondrogenic cell-associated matrix comprises aggrecan, collagen types II, IX, XI, matrix proteins, and hyaluronan and the ECT comprises collagens II, IX, XI, hyaluronan, and at least about 5 mg/cc<sup>3</sup> aggrecan, the ratio of aggrecan : hyaluronan is about 10:1 – 200:1,

Art Unit: 1651

and the ration of aggrecan : collagen is about 1:1 – 10:1. The isolated chondrogenic cells are isolated from articular cartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoids or cricoid cartilages, specifically fibrocartilage from ligament, tendon, meniscus, or intervertebral disc. The chondrogenic cells are cultured on an alginate medium, the measuring is performed without addition of extrinsic radioactivity and the method further comprises identifying one or more test agents that have desirable characteristics and producing the agents as a therapeutic drug.

Lansbury teaches methods for screening the effects of agents on cartilage cultures wherein a chondrocyte cell culture is incubated (or contacted) with the test agent and the effects are measured (claim 34). The method is used to identify agents with desirable, therapeutic characteristics, specifically the ability to repair damaged cartilage (claim 34).

Lansbury does not teach the method wherein the cartilage is cultured in the manner claimed. However, Masuda teaches methods for culturing an engineered cartilage tissue, wherein isolated chondrocytes are cultured in alginate for a time to allow a cell associated matrix to form (col.4 line 30-35). The cell associated matrix has at least about 5 mg/cc<sup>3</sup> aggrecan, a ratio of aggrecan to hyaluronan of 10:1 to 200:1, and a ratio of aggrecan to collagen of about 1:1 to 10: (col.4 line 35-40). The chondrogenic cells are cultured on a semi-permeable membrane in the presence of growth factors (col. 4 line 44-46). The chondrocytes may be isolated from articular cartilage, fibrocartilage, costal, nasal, auricular, tracheal, epiglottic, thyroid, arytenoid or cricoid cartilages (col.5 line 31-38) and the resulting matrix comprises aggrecan, collagn II, IX, XI and hyaluronan (col.6 line 61-64). At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to culture the cartilage of Lansbury using the

Art Unit: 1651

methods of Masuda because it was a known method for culturing cartilage tissues. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by common culture practices in the art to culture the cartilage of Lansbury via the methods of Masuda with a reasonable expectation for successfully determining the effects of test agents on cartilage cultures. Although Masuda does not teach the fibrocartilage is from a ligament, tendon, meniscus or intervertebral discs, they were each well known sources of fibrocartilage. Moreover at the time of the claimed invention, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to use any of the above sources as the source of fibrocartilage in the methods of Masuda.

### ***Response to Arguments***

Applicant argues that the references do not teach the claimed limitations wherein the proteoglycan content can be measured without use of a radioactive agent; that the cartilage of Masuda does not inherently rapidly degrade as claimed; or that the culture of Masuda does not require the ECM be cultured as claimed. Applicant additionally argues that applicant has recognized the claimed traits in only some of the samples of Masuda, not all samples. Finally applicant argues that the ECM of Masuda changes with culture times and that all of the tissues of Masuda cannot be used in the claimed method, since longer culture times make the ECM more like native tissues. It is noted that applicant points to and relies upon a declaration, however such a declaration has not been received.

However, these arguments fail to persuade for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1651

Regarding applicant's assertions that the proteoglycan content can not be measured without radioactive agents, it is first pointed out that the claims do not require the proteoglycan content be measured without radioactive agents, but that it is possible to measure the content without radioactive agents. Furthermore, since the cultured tissues of Masuda and the instant claims are made in the same manner, any unrecognized trait of that culture would be inherent to the tissues of Masuda. Thus, the tissues of Masuda must also have the ability to measure loss of proteoglycan content without radioactive agents. In addition, regarding applicant's assertions that the instant cartilage tissue is different from that of Masuda, applicant is reminded that previously applicant expressly states: "Applicants have recognized a previously unappreciated trait found in select samples of engineered cartilage tissues disclosed by Masuda et al." In the instant case it is unequivocal that the engineered cartilage tissue of applicant's claims is cultured under the same conditions as disclosed in the prior art. Because the same culture conditions are used to make the engineered tissues, the resulting properties of that tissue must necessarily be the same as disclosed by applicant. Otherwise applicant's invention could not function as disclosed. MPEP § 2112 clearly states: "The express, implicit, and inherent disclosures of a prior art reference may be relied upon in the rejection of claims under 35 U.S.C. 102 or 103. "The inherent teaching of a prior art reference, a question of fact, arises both in the context of anticipation and obviousness".

Regarding applicant's argument that Masuda does not require the tissues be cultured in the manner instantly claimed, Masuda still teaches the claimed method of culturing, therefore the method is clearly taught and suggested by Masuda.



Art Unit: 1651

Regarding applicant's assertion that a new trait has been discovered in only some of the cartilage tissues of Masuda, "the discovery of a previously unappreciated property of a prior art composition, or of a scientific explanation for the prior art's functioning, does not render the old composition patentably new to the discoverer." Thus the claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art does not necessarily make the claim patentable. *In re Best*, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). There is no requirement that a person of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the inherent disclosure at the time of invention, but only that the subject matter is in fact inherent in the prior art reference. *Schering Corp. v. Geneva Pharm. Inc.*, 339 F.3d 1373, 1377, 67 USPQ2d 1664, 1668 (Fed. Cir. 2003) (rejecting the contention that inherent anticipation requires recognition by a person of ordinary skill in the art before the critical date and allowing expert testimony with respect to post-critical date clinical trials to show inherency) (MPEP 2112)

Regarding applicant's argument that the ECM of Masuda changes with culture time thus cannot be used in the claimed method, it is noted that the claims do not require a specific culture time, thus the argument is not commensurate in scope with the claims. Even still, the claims are directed to an ECM cultured by the same methods taught and disclosed by Masuda. Since Masuda clearly teaches the same culture conditions as claimed, the tissues of Masuda must also, intrinsically exhibit the claimed properties, even though they were not previously recognized.

Therefore, since the references clearly teach methods for determining effects of test agents on engineered cartilage tissue, and the method of culturing such engineered cartilage tissues is disclosed by Masuda, the claims stand rejected as being obvious for these reasons and those stated in the rejections above.

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth A. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H (7:00-4:30); altn. F (7:00-3:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ruth A. Davis  
May 24, 2005  
AU 1651

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'RDavis', is positioned to the right of the typed name and date.